

## Guidance for Real Estate Agents and Letting Agents (REAs):

- **Anti-money laundering (AML);**
- **Combatting the financing of terrorism (CFT); and**
- **Counter proliferation financing (CPF).**

### Contents

#### Legal Notice

1. About this guidance
2. Applicable legislation
3. REA risk assessments
4. AML/CFT/CPF/CPF policies, controls and procedures
5. Money laundering reporting officers & their responsibilities
6. Customer due diligence & assessing risk
7. Ongoing monitoring
8. Targeted financial sanctions
9. Record keeping, data & annual returns
10. Employer & employee responsibilities
11. REA's duty to report ownership, management & MLRO changes
12. Useful contacts

Schedule 1 - How to identify customer ML/TF/PF Risk

Schedule 2 - Money laundering methods & schemes

Schedule 3 - Verifying source of funds & wealth

Schedule 4 - Glossary of abbreviations

First issued: April 2018  
Last updated: February 2023  
Version: 2.2



## Legal Notice

This guidance should be regarded as regulatory standards for the purposes of the Proceeds of Crime Act 2015.

This document is issued pursuant to s.11(3) of the Supervisory Bodies (Powers Etc.) Regulations 2017 (**SBPR**).

Compliance with this guidance is enforceable pursuant to the provisions of SBPR.

The information contained in this document is for guidance only and should not therefore be construed as legal advice. If

you are unsure about your obligations, you should take independent legal advice. The Office of Fair Trading (**OFT**) accepts no responsibility for reliance on any information contained within this document and excludes any liability for action taken based on this information.

The OFT does not give any express or implied warranty as to the accuracy of the information contained in this document. The OFT does not accept any liability for error or omission.

---

## 1. About this guidance

### 1.1. Why is the OFT issuing these guidelines?

The OFT is the appointed Supervisory Authority under the Proceeds of Crime Act 2015 (**POCA**) for estate agents and letting agents.

Estate agents and letting agents are relevant financial business pursuant to s.9(1)(h) POCA (see 1.2).

The OFT is issuing this guidance to estate agents and letting agents:

1. to assist them in meeting their AML/CFT/CPF legal obligations (see 1.9);
2. to provide guidance about how to identify high risk transactions and customers;
3. to explain how the OFT will supervise compliance with the business's POCA legal obligations; and
4. to set out the type of information that REA's are required to provide to the OFT (e.g. see 9.5 to 9.8 & section 11).

For more information about POCA and other applicable legislation please refer to section 2.

### 1.2. Who do these guidelines apply to?

This guidance applies to all:

1. real estate agents (see 1.3); and
2. letting agents (see 1.4),

that conduct business in or from Gibraltar and/or in relation to Gibraltar real property (**REAs**).

References in this document to 'REAs' should be regarded as applicable jointly to estate agents and letting agents unless otherwise indicated.

The guidance notes also apply to directors, managers, partners and employees of REAs.

### 1.3. What businesses are Real Estate Agents?

Real estate agents are businesses that represent either the purchaser or the vendor during the sale or purchase of a property in Gibraltar or abroad.

The business's purpose within the transaction may extend to:

1. facilitating the sale of real property between two parties;
2. negotiating and arranging the documentation appertaining to the property transaction on behalf of customers;
3. acting to secure the sale or purchase of land between two parties;
4. advising customers on property prices and providing valuations;
5. marketing, advertising and promoting properties on behalf of prospective vendors; and
6. searching and identifying a suitable property on behalf of prospective purchasers.

The above is a non-exclusive list of services and a business will be considered as a real estate agent where they provide relevant services related to the sale of real property in or from Gibraltar and/or in relation to Gibraltar real property.

#### **1.4. What businesses are Letting Agents?**

A 'letting agent' is defined in 7(1) POCA as any person carrying out letting agency work. 'Letting agency work' is also separately defined in s7(1) and means work that meets the following two criteria:

1. Work done in response to instructions received from:
  - i. a prospective landlord seeking to find another person to whom to let land, or
  - ii. a prospective tenant seeking to find land to rent,
 and
2. where an agreement is concluded for the letting of land:
  - i. for a term of a month or more, and

- ii. at a rent which during at least part of the term is, or is equivalent to, a monthly rent of 10,000 euros or more.

"Land" for these purposes includes part of a building and part of any other structure.

Letting agency work does not however include the things set out in 1 to 4 below if the business does not do anything else falling within criteria 1 and 2 above:

1. publishing advertisements or disseminating information;
2. providing a means by which a prospective landlord or a prospective tenant can, in response to an advertisement or dissemination of information, make direct contact with a prospective tenant or a prospective landlord;
3. providing a means by which a prospective landlord and a prospective tenant can communicate directly with each other;
4. the provision of legal or notarial services by a barrister, advocate, solicitor or other legal representative communications with whom may be the subject of a claim to professional privilege.

#### **1.5. Why does the guidance refer to an amount in euros and not pounds sterling?**

The guidance reflects the monetary limit as set out in POCA.

It should be noted however that references in POCA and this guidance to an amount in euros includes reference to an equivalent amount in any currency (s. 1ZC(a) POCA).

The equivalent in sterling (or any other currency) on a particular day of a sum expressed in euros is determined by

converting the sum in euros into its equivalent in sterling (or that other currency) using the London closing exchange rate for the euro and the relevant currency for the previous working day (s. 1ZC(b) POCA).

### 1.6. What is AML/CFT/CPF?

AML/CFT/CPF refers to laws and systems designed to prevent:

1. money laundering (ML) (see 1.7);
2. terrorist financing (TF) (see 1.8); and
3. proliferation financing (PF) (see 1.9).

AML means anti-money laundering.

CFT means combatting the financing of terrorism.

CPF means counter proliferation financing.

### 1.7. What is money laundering (ML)?

Money laundering, or ML, is the process of transforming and concealing the profits generated by criminal activity and corruption (such as drug trafficking, market manipulation, fraud, tax evasion and bribery) into a 'clean'/legitimate asset which is in the banking system and where the illicit source of the money cannot be traced.

The manipulation of real estate transactions is an established method of money laundering and has been identified as a predominant and attractive business area for money laundering activities. Due to the high value of property transactions, it offers criminals seeking to launder monies the ability to integrate and conceal large sums of illicit funds into the legitimate economy.

### 1.8. What is terrorist financing (TF)

Terrorist financing, or TF, is defined in s. 1ZA POCA. It involves:

1. the use of funds or assets;

2. the making available of funds or assets; or
3. the acquisition, possession, concealment, conversion or transfer of funds,

for the purposes of terrorism.

For [more information and guidance regarding TF please refer to the Counter Terrorist Financing Guidance Notes](#) on the GFIU's website: [www.gfiu.gov.gi](http://www.gfiu.gov.gi).

### 1.9. What is proliferation financing (PF)?

Proliferation financing, or PF, refers to the act of providing funds or financial services which are used for the manufacture, acquisition, possession, development, transfer, stockpiling or use of nuclear, chemical or biological weapons and their means of delivery. This is not an exhaustive definition and is indicative only.

REAs should understand PF and their obligations in relation to PF as set out in local and international legislation.

For more information and guidance regarding PF please refer to [the Counter-Proliferation Financing Guidance Notes](#) on the GFIU's website: [www.gfiu.gov.gi](http://www.gfiu.gov.gi).

### 1.10. National Risk Assessment

The vulnerabilities and risks of ML/TF/PF/PF in Gibraltar are set out in the [National Risk Assessment](#) published by HM Government of Gibraltar (NRA). You can find a copy of the NRA in the '[AML/CFT/CPF](#)' section of the OFT's website: [www.oft.gov.gi](http://www.oft.gov.gi).

The NRA must be considered by REAs when risk assessing their business (see section 3) and when carrying out customer due diligence measures (see section 6).

### **1.11. Are property developers REAs?**

If a developer is the owner of a property and is selling or renting that property directly, then it is not considered to be a REA.

If, however, the sale or rental of that property is being advertised and arranged through a third party (which is not the owner of the property), then that third party may be considered a REA. This will be the case irrespective of whether the developer and the REA are two companies ultimately owned by the same person(s).

Where an initial deposit payment towards an off-plan property is paid through a REA, that transaction will be covered by this guidance. Subsequent stage payments paid directly by the buyer to the developer shall not.

### **1.12. What are the obligations of REAs?**

REAs' responsibilities include, but are not limited to:

1. Carrying out a risk assessment of their business's attractiveness and vulnerability to money laundering and terrorist financing (see section 3);
2. Establishing appropriate policies and procedures commensurate to the business's risks to prevent the business being used to launder money or finance terrorism (see section 4);
3. Appointing a money laundering reporting officer (MLRO) who understands the business's risks and responsibilities under POCA and who shall be responsible for all AML/CFT/CPF matters (see section 5);
4. Carrying out appropriate risk assessments of customers on a risk-based approach and keeping relevant documentation (see section 6);

5. Screening customers for targeted financial sanctions (see section 8);
6. Keeping appropriate AML/CFT/CPF records and submit annual returns to the OFT (see section 9); and
7. Training staff to ensure they are aware of AML/CFT/CPF risks and of the business's AML/CFT/CPF policies (see section 10).

### **1.13. What is the OFT's role?**

The OFT is the licensing authority for REAs pursuant to the Fair Trading Act 2015. All REAs are required to obtain a business licence before they are able to carry out businesses as a real estate agent (see 1.3) or a letting agent (see 1.4).

The OFT is also a Supervisory Authority for REAs as set out in Schedule 2(g), POCA. The OFT must effectively monitor REAs and take necessary measures to:

1. secure compliance by REAs with the requirements of POCA;
2. prevent REAs from engaging or otherwise being concerned in (directly or indirectly) with ML/TF/PF, or otherwise knowingly or recklessly assisting or facilitating such conduct by any other person;
3. identify and assess the ML/TF/PF risk for the REA sector as set out in the NRA.

Furthermore, the OFT is required to report evidence of ML/TF/PF to the Gibraltar Financial Intelligence Unit (GFIU).

### **1.14. How does the OFT monitor compliance by REAs?**

The OFT risk assesses all REAs compliance with their AML/CFT/CPF obligations based on:

1. documents submitted annually to the OFT, including:
  - a. risk assessments (see section 3);

- b. policies controls & procedures (see section 4); and
  - c. annual returns submitted (see section 9);
2. information submitted to the OFT from time to time (see section 11);
3. regular onsite visits carried out by the OFT on all REAs in order to ensure that they are meeting their AML/CFT/CPF obligations in practise; and
4. thematic reviews of the REA sector to determine the level of compliance in relation to their obligations.

The OFT also works closely with the Gibraltar Financial Intelligence Unit, law

enforcement bodies and other AML/CFT/CPF supervisory authorities to monitor the market and uses various sources to acquire information and determine whether REAs are complying with their AML/CFT/CPF obligations or being used for ML/TF/PF.

#### **1.15. Do this guidance contain all I need to know?**

No. This guidance is for information purposes only to assist REAs to understand their legal obligations.

For the definitive authority on REA's legal obligations regarding AML/CFT/CPF please refer to the Proceeds of Crime Act 2015 and other applicable legislation (see section 2).

---

## 2. Applicable legislation

### 2.1. Proceeds of Crime Act 2015 (POCA)

The [Proceeds of Crime Act 2015](#), or POCA, is the main Gibraltar law that is aimed at preventing the abuse of the financial system for ML/TF/PF. It also sets out processes relating to the confiscation, investigation and recovery of the proceeds of unlawful conduct.

While all of POCA is applicable, the most relevant part for REAs is Part III: 'Measures to prevent the use of the financial system for purposes of money laundering, terrorist financing and proliferation financing'.

The majority of REA's AML/CFT/CPF obligations and the requirements set out in this guidance is derived from POCA.

### 2.2. Terrorism Act 2018

The [Terrorism Act](#) provides for the investigation of terrorist offences and sets out offences related to terrorism, in particular those relating to the financing of terrorism and in respect of Proscribed

Organisations. Persons who have obligations under the Act will need to become aware of and provide training and processes for staff to follow.

The Act also creates offence relating to acts of terrorism, travelling in respect of terrorism and provides for the freezing and forfeiture of terrorist property and funds,

The National Coordinator for AML/CFT has issued [a newsletter with more information about the Terrorism Act 2018](#) which can be found in the 'AML/CFT/CPF' page of the OFT's Website ([www.ofg.gov.gi](http://www.ofg.gov.gi)).

### 2.3. Sanctions Act 2019

The [Sanctions Act 2019](#) provides for the automatic recognition and enforcement in Gibraltar of UN, EU and UK sanctions and gives effect to numerous international requirements relating to financial and other sanctions. The Act provides a regime to implement both international and domestic sanctions in Gibraltar. These sanctions

include financial sanctions, immigration sanctions, trade sanctions, aircraft sanctions and shipping sanctions.

The National Coordinator for AML/CFT has issued [a newsletter with more information about the Sanctions Act 2019](#) which can be found in the 'AML/CFT/CPF' page of the OFT's Website ([www.oft.gov.gi](http://www.oft.gov.gi)).

#### **2.4. Register of Ultimate Beneficial Owners, Nominators and Appointors Regulations 2017**

The [Register of Ultimate Beneficial Owners, Nominators and Appointors Regulations 2017](#), commonly referred to as 'RUBOR', is a subsidiary legislation made under s. 184 of POCA that establishes a Register of Ultimate Beneficial Owners within Gibraltar. The Finance Centre Director is the appointed Registrar.

#### **2.5. If I read this guidance, do I need read the legislation?**

Yes! This guidance only set out some of the most relevant provisions of POCA and other legislation to the REA sector. These focus on ML/TF/PF only. There are however other obligations in the legislation that may not be referred to in this document.

You should not therefore regard this document as an exhaustive authority with regard to REAs' obligations in respect of AML/CFT/CPF and should instead read it in conjunction with your legal obligations as set out in the legislation.

#### **2.6. Where can I find the legislation?**

The full body of the Acts may be found in the '[AML/CFT/CPF](#)' page of the OFT's Website ([www.oft.gov.gi](http://www.oft.gov.gi)) along with a pdf copy of this guidance.

They can also be downloaded on the HM Government of Gibraltar's Gibraltar laws website ([www.gibraltarlaws.gov.gi](http://www.gibraltarlaws.gov.gi)) by searching the name of the laws.

---

## **3. REA risk assessments**

### **3.1 What is a risk assessment?**

S.25A POCA sets out the requirement for REAs to risk assess their business. A risk assessment is the process of assessing the ML/TF/PF risk that your business could be exposed to. Once the risks are understood appropriate policies, controls and procedures can be put in place to mitigate these risks see section 4).

### **3.2 What do I need to consider when carrying out the risk assessment?**

REAs must subjectively assess the relevant ML/TF/PF risks to their business. When undertaking their risk assessment, the following questions should be considered:

1. Is the business well informed and familiar with the methods and systems used by criminals wishing to carry out ML/TF/PF via REAs and is this information kept up to date? (for examples of how REAs may be used to launder money please see Schedule 2.)
2. Does the business have systems in place to regularly monitor and detect any behavioural patterns or activities which could possibly be ML/TF/PF schemes? (see section 4)
3. Are the business's customer due diligence methods appropriate and sufficient to minimise the risk (see section 6)?



4. Have the employees of the business received any training which might mitigate the risk of the business being used for ML/TF/PF? (see section 10)
5. How does the business's:
  - i. customer base;
  - ii. methods of financial transactions;
  - iii. methods of communication with customers;
  - iv. nature or services provided; and
  - v. geographical area, impact its level of risk?
6. Are the business's customers, companies which have complex legal structures making it hard to determine their beneficial owners (see 6.6)?
7. Does the business deal with any overseas vendors or purchasers?
8. Does the business accept large sums of cash? If so, are proof of funds requested?
9. Are the business's customers buying for themselves or on behalf of a third party? Does the business know who these third parties are?

This list is not exhaustive and a risk based approach will require analysing the business's individual characteristics carefully. These need to be considered alongside the risks identified in the National Risk Assessment (see 1.9).

For example, a locally based international REA with high net-worth overseas customers presents a very different risk profile to a small REA who mainly deals with sales of affordable housing scheme properties. However, both may be targeted by criminals if they have little or no AML/CFT/CPF controls in place. The

environment in which a business is carried out affects the individual business's risk assessment. If a business has many high net-worth customers or deals with people from a particular country or region, this will influence the business wide assessment.

### **3.3 Determining the business's risk appetite.**

Once a thorough risk assessment is carried out the business can determine its risk appetite. This allows it to implement policies to cover the customers and transactions which pose an acceptable risk (see 4.4).

### **3.4 Is there more guidance to help my business carry out its risk assessment?**

For detailed and specific guidance for risk assessing your business please refer to the [OFT's Risk Assessment Guidance Notes](#) which can be found in [the 'AML/CFT/CPF' section](#) of the OFT's website: [www.oft.gov.gi](http://www.oft.gov.gi).

For in depth guidance of high level principles and procedures for REAs on the risk-based approach to combatting Money Laundering and Terrorist Financing please refer to the guidance from the Financial Action Task Force (FATF) which can be found in the ['AML/CFT/CPF' section](#) of the OFT's website ([www.oft.gov.gi](http://www.oft.gov.gi)).

### **3.5 Ongoing obligations**

Each REA has the responsibility of conducting risk assessments regularly on an ongoing basis. This ensures that businesses are aware of evolving ML/TF/PF risks specific to the business and ensuring that AML/CFT/CPF policies, controls and procedures continue to be fit for purpose and effective.



## 4. AML/CFT/CPF policies, controls and procedures

### 4.1 Risk based policies and procedures.

Pursuant to s.26 POCA, REA's must establish and maintain appropriate and risk-sensitive AML/CFT/CPF policies, controls and procedures. These policies, controls and procedures should protect the business and prevent it from being used as a tool for ML/TF/PF.

The policy shall be proportionate to the nature and size of the REA.

All REAs must have a clear written AML/CFT/CPF policies with well-defined controls and procedures to identify and manage the business's and its customers' ML/TF/PF risks. These risks must be determined after carrying out a risk assessment of the business (see section 3).

Policies, controls and procedures must be made available to all employees of the business and to the OFT.

### 4.2 Who approves the policy?

Pursuant to s.26A POCA the AML/CFT/CPF policy must be approved and adopted by the business's senior management who will include the board of director, executives and/or other senior managers.

### 4.3 What policies, controls and procedures must REAs have in place?

REAs must develop internal policies, controls and procedures that allows it to:

1. mitigate identified risks of the business being used by criminals for ML/TF/PF (see section 3);
2. carry out customer due diligence measures (see section 6) and monitor customers' business activities;

3. carry out ongoing CDD measures (see section 7);
4. carry out targeted financial sanctions screening (see section 8);
5. submit annual returns to the OFT (see section 9);
6. report suspicious clients or transactions to the GFU where it suspects, or has reasonable grounds to suspect, that a transaction is related to ML/TF/PF (see 5.8);
7. keep customer, transactional and staff training records (see section 9 and 10);
8. ensure employees:
  - i. are aware of POCA and this guidance;
  - ii. are aware of the business's AML/CFT/CPF policy;
  - iii. have the necessary training; and
  - iv. report suspicious activity to the MLRO (see 10.4);

A complete list of the legal requirements are set out in s.26 POCA.

REAs must also ensure they have the necessary management control systems in place and the required resources to implement the policy.

### 4.4 Determining the business's risk appetite.

Once a thorough risk assessment is carried out this can be used to assist the business to determine its commercial appetite to enter into transactions that are higher risk. This will allow it to implement policies to determine what customers or transactions it wants to engage with.

Where implemented, such a policy will facilitate the business to meet its

AML/CFT/CPF obligations as the policy can clearly determine:

1. the type of customer due diligence required for different customers and transactions (see section 6); and
2. when the business considers that the ML/TF/PF risks are too high for it.

Such a policy will also make it easier for the business to demonstrate to the OFT and law enforcement how it has met its AML/CFT/CPF obligations.

#### **4.5 What if the REA is part of a group?**

Pursuant to s.26(1B) POCA, AML/CFT/CPF policies, controls and procedures should be applicable to all branches and majority-owned subsidiaries of the group and should be appropriate to each of the REAs in the group.

Such AML/CFT/CPF policies, controls and procedures should be implemented effectively at the level of branches and majority-owned subsidiaries. Group AML/CFT/CPF policies, controls and procedures should allow for sharing information required for the purposes of CDD and the assessment and management of ML/TF/PF risk by all the group's businesses. The MLRO of each business in the group should be provided with customer, and transaction information from the other businesses when necessary for AML/CFT/CPF purposes. Adequate safeguards on the confidentiality and use of information exchanged should be in place. Refer to the full set of requirements in s.26(1B) POCA.

If you have branches and subsidiaries outside of Gibraltar you should note the requirements of s.21 POCA.

#### **4.6 Do I comply fully once I have policies, controls and procedures?**

It is important that policies, controls and procedures are put into operation. If a REA does not implement its policies, controls and procedures, then these are of no use and the REA will not be meeting its AML/CFT/CPF obligations.

Inappropriate policies, controls and procedures will similarly mean that the business shall not meet its AML/CFT/CPF obligations.

Policies, controls and procedures must therefore be based on the findings of the business's risk assessment (see section 3) and be appropriately implemented. This includes making them readily available to all employees who should be trained about how to use them (see section 10).

A copy of the policies, controls and procedures must also be provided to the OFT.

Pursuant to s.26(1A) POCA, REAs must also undertake an independent audit function for the purposes of testing their AML/CFT/CPF policies, controls and procedures and ensure they are appropriate.

#### **4.7 Undertaking an audit**

Audits must have regard to the nature and size of the REA (s.26(1A) POCA) and should happen at regular intervals or where a deficiency with the business's AML/CFT/CPF policy, controls or procedures is identified.

The frequency and scale of the audit shall be proportionate to the size and nature of the business as well as findings and recommendations from the OFT or

previous audits and any other relevant AML/CFT/CPF considerations.

#### 4.8 Who can carry out an audit?

Audits must be independent. The person must provide an independent, objective and impartial view on the efficacy of the policies, controls and procedures. It is the responsibility of the business to determine the independence of the individuals.

The REA must also ensure that the person conducting the audit has sufficient knowledge of the REA's AML/CFT/CPF obligations to assess the efficacy of the business's policies, controls and procedures.

The person should produce a written report setting out the items covered by the audit and the relevant findings of the audit. It is recommended that the REA provide a copy of the report to the OFT once available. The report shall be requested by the OFT as part of its onsite visit process or following the submission of annual returns (see section 9). There is no requirement however to engage the services of a third party in order to carry out this function. An audit can be performed by a person from within the business if they meet the independence criteria. The REA must however ensure that whoever carries out the audit they must not:

1. have been involved in carrying out the REA's risk assessment;

2. have been involved in the development of the REA's AML/CFT/CPF policies, controls and procedures; and/or
3. be involved in applying the REA's AML/CFT/CPF policies, controls and procedures.

#### 4.9 Do I need policies, controls and procedures if I work alone?

Yes. You must implement policies, controls and procedures. If you are working alone however your policies, controls and procedures need not be in writing until you are working with someone else.

The OFT nevertheless strongly recommends that written policies, controls and procedures are created even if you work alone as this will help you meet your AML/CFT/CPF and targeted financial sanctions obligations (see section 8).

A written policy will also help you to demonstrate to the OFT how you are meeting your obligations in onsite meetings or otherwise. If a policy is not in writing you must be able to explain to the OFT upon request:

1. your business's ML/TF/PF risks and vulnerabilities;
2. your business's AML/CFT/CPF policies, controls & procedures to mitigate those risks; and
3. your business's procedures to carry out sanctions screening.

---

## 5. MLROs & their responsibilities

### 5.1 What is an MLRO?

All REAs must nominate a money laundering reporting officer (MLRO).

MLROs must be registered with the OFT by completing and submitting an [MLRO nomination form](#). The form is available to download in the '[AML/CFT/CPF section](#)' of the OFT'S website: [www.oft.gov.gi](http://www.oft.gov.gi)

## 5.2 Who should be appointed MLRO?

A MLRO must be a director, senior manager or partner of the business. They play an important role, so they must be someone who:

1. can be trusted with the responsibility;
2. has access to all customer files and records;
3. can give necessary instructions to other employees;
4. has appropriate training and experience regarding AML/CFT/CPF to carry out the role; and
5. is autonomous enough to decide whether they need to report suspicious activities or transactions.

If you work alone, you are the MLRO.

## 5.3 What is the MLRO's role?

The MLRO is also generally responsible for dealing with any AML/CFT/CPF matters and is the OFT's liaison for the business.

The MLRO's main responsibility is to monitor any suspicious activity surrounding the business daily transactions that might be linked to ML/TF/PF. Where necessary the MLRO must report such activities or risks to the GFIU by submitting a Suspicious Activity Report (**SAR**) (see 5.4 to 5.7 below).

MLRO's are responsible for ensuring that REAs carry out appropriate risk assessments of their business and its customers (in accordance with sections 3 and 6 respectively) and ensure all AML/CFT/CPF policies and procedures are adhered to and understood by all employees (see sections 4 and 9).

The MLRO may also be responsible for other tasks to ensure the business complies with POCA, e.g.:

1. putting in place and operating AML/CFT/CPF policies, controls and procedures (see section 4);
2. training staff about the business's ML/TF/PF risks and how to prevent ML/TF/PF within the business;
3. keeping customer due diligence and risk assessments records (see 7.1); and
4. ensuring the REA's workers are not part of a ML/TF/PF scheme.

The MLRO must also carry out targeted financial sanctions screening (see section 8).

## 5.4 Identifying suspicious activity and submitting SARs

REAs must have systems in place for the MLRO to receive reports of suspicious activity from the business's other staff. The MLRO must then evaluate the reports for any evidence of ML/TF/PF and carry out an appropriate risk assessment based on the report and the customer's due diligence records (see section 6).

The MLRO must consider all of the information about the customer, business relationship and the transaction which is intended to be carried out.

If the MLRO knows (see 5.6), suspects or has reasonable grounds to suspect (see 5.7) that a transaction is related to ML/TF/PF it is required to submit a SAR at the earliest possible opportunity to the GFIU. This includes letting transactions whether or not this is considered letting agency work for the purposes of POCA (see 1.4).

## 5.5 How does the MLRO report a SAR to the GFIU?

It is strongly recommended that MLROs sign up to the GFIU's Themis online system to submit SARs. For more information and to sign up to the system visit

<https://www.gfiu.gov.gi/reporting>. This will allow MLROs to submit SARs and to report positive sanctions matches (see section 8).

Alternatively, SARs may be made to the GFIU by completing a downloaded SAR form and submitting it to the GFIU by e-mail ([gfiu@gcid.gov.gi](mailto:gfiu@gcid.gov.gi)) or delivered by hand to their offices at Suite 832, Europort. The form can be downloaded from the 'AML/CFT/CPF' section of the OFT's website: [www.ofg.gov.gi](http://www.ofg.gov.gi).

For more [specific guidance about submitting SARs](#) please refer to the GFIU's website: [www.gfiu.gov.gi](http://www.gfiu.gov.gi)

#### **5.6 What is meant by 'knowledge'?**

A MLRO has 'knowledge' if they actually know something to be true. The MLRO may however infer this from surrounding circumstances, including the due diligence process (see section 6) and by asking questions.

If in doubt, the MLRO should seek clarification or ask for evidence to support their evaluation.

#### **5.7 What constitutes suspicion?**

Suspicion must be assessed both subjectively and objectively. It must extend beyond mere speculation and must be based on some foundation. To be suspicious MLROs must have a degree of satisfaction that ML/TF/PF may be taking place which, does not necessarily amount to knowledge (see 5.6 above), but at least extends beyond speculation.

If in doubt, the MLRO should seek clarification or ask for evidence to support their evaluation.

#### **5.8 What happens once a SAR has been submitted?**

Once a SAR is submitted the MLRO must ensure the transaction does not take place. The GFIU has fourteen days to assess the information submitted in the SAR and reach a decision about how to proceed. They may seek further information from you.

At the end of the fourteen days if you have not received any further notice from the GFIU then nothing further is required and the transaction may take place.

#### **5.9 Should the suspicious transaction be allowed to go ahead?**

No. The MLRO must seek consent from the GFIU before proceeding with a transaction it suspects is related to ML/TF/PF.

#### **5.10 Should the person being reported be made aware of their report?**

No! It is a criminal offence for anyone to say or do anything that may prejudice an investigation or 'tip off' another person that a suspicion has been raised, a SAR has been submitted or that a money laundering or terrorist financing investigation may be carried out (s.5 POCA). It is also an offence to falsify, conceal or destroy documents relevant to investigations.

Nobody should tell or inform the person involved in the transaction or anyone else that:

1. the transaction is being or was delayed because a suspicion has been raised;
2. details of a transaction have or will be reported to the GFIU; or
3. law enforcement agencies are investigating the customer.

Where a MLRO forms a suspicion of ML/TF/PF, and they reasonably believe that applying CDD measures (see section 6) will 'tip-off' the customer, then the MLRO

should not apply such measures and instead submit a SAR to the GFIU (see 5.11).

### 5.11 Tipping off through CDD measures

Pursuant to s.11(5A) POCA, where, during the course of applying customer due diligence measures (see section 6), a REA knows, suspects or has reasonable grounds to suspect that the person subject to such measures or another person is engaged in ML/TF/PF, or is attempting any one or more of those acts, the REA must, where it is of the opinion that to continue would result in the tipping-off of the person, cease applying customer due diligence measures, and shall make a relevant disclosure to the GFIU without delay.

### 5.12 Targeted financial sanctions

It is an offence under s.9 of the Sanctions Act 2019 to breach, or to assist a breach, of an international sanction. You are not therefore allowed to deal with persons or entities subject to a sanction unless you have a licence, permit or other authorisation to do so issued in accordance with s.10 of the Act.

An MLRO is responsible for ensuring that REAs screen their customers against sanction lists. MLROs are required to freeze assets and report positive sanction matches to the GFIU.

For more information about targeted financial sanctions refer to section 8.

### 5.13 What happens in the MLRO's absence?

A MLRO's duties can be temporarily delegated to someone else. This does not however relieve the MLRO of their responsibility. A deputy or alternate may only be appointed during periods of absence.

A MLRO's absence should not restrict the REA's ability to monitor risk and submit SARs to the GFIU.

### 5.14 Is there more guidance for MLROs?

The GFIU has produced [guidance notes on SARs](#) for MLROs & Reporters and [guidance notes on Financial Sanctions](#). For more information visit the GFIU's website: <https://www.gfiu.gov.gi/reporting>.

---

## 6. Customer due diligence & assessing risk

### 6.1 What is customer due diligence?

Customer due diligence (CDD) measures (sometimes also known as 'know your customer' or 'KYC') refer to processes whereby a business carries out checks on its customers to establish who they are and to understand the purpose of the transactions they want to carry out. This allows the business to determine whether there is a risk that they are linked to ML/TF/PF. A full definition of CDD measures is set out in s.10 POCA.

Applying CDD measures involves:

1. identifying the customer and establishing who they are;
2. understanding the ownership and control structure of the customer, including identifying the customers' beneficial owners (see 6.6);
3. understanding and obtaining information about corporate or legal entities, trusts, foundations and other legal arrangements in the ownership and control structures of customers;



4. verifying that any person purporting to act on behalf of the customer is so authorised and identifying and verifying the identity of that person (s.10A POCA);
5. understanding and obtaining information on the purpose and intended nature of the business relationship or occasional transaction;
6. taking a risk-based approach to the verification of the identity of the customer and all beneficial owners (see 6.10)
7. determining whether the customer, or its beneficial owner, is a politically exposed person (see 6.6 and 6.15);
8. taking a risk-based approach to the verification of the source of funds and source of wealth of the customer and beneficial owners (see 6.16).

CDD therefore involves collecting documentation and information to allow the business to understand who it is dealing with, what the transaction is about and who is benefiting from the transaction. This in turn allows the business to carry out a ML/TF/PF risk assessment of the customer before providing their service to them.

It should be noted that adequate CDD measures cannot be applied by following a checklist approach. This is because CDD must be carried out on a risk-based approach and different risks associated with different customers and transactions will require different CDD to provide a REA comfort that there is no ML/TF/PF.

## 6.2 When are CDD measures carried out?

The application of CDD measures is covered in s.11 and s.13 POCA. CDD must be performed before any financial transactions take place.

Pursuant to s.11(1) and s.13(2) POCA, REAs must apply CDD measures and verify the identity of the customer (and any beneficial owner(see 6.6)) before:

1. it establishes a business, professional or commercial relationship with a customer which is expected, at the time when contact is established, to have an element of duration (s.8(1) and s.11(1)(b) POCA). A business relationship is formed when an offer for the purchase or rental of a property is accepted (s8(3) POCA);
2. it carries out an occasional transaction amounting to 15,000 euro or more, whether the transaction is carried out in a single operation or in several operations which appear to be linked (s.11(1)(b) POCA);
3. it suspects ML/TF/PF, regardless of any derogation, exemption or threshold (s.11(1)(c) POCA);
4. doubts the veracity or adequacy of documents, data or information previously obtained for the purposes of identification or verification (s.11(1)(d) POCA).; and/or
5. any of the other circumstances set out in s.11(1) POCA.

REAs must also apply CDD measures where it suspects ML/TF/PF or proliferation financing in any circumstances.

REAs are also required to carry out ongoing monitoring and due diligence of existing business relationships (see section 7).

## 6.3 What are my CDD obligations?

REAs must undertake sufficient monitoring of the transactions and business relationships they enter into to enable the detection of unusual or suspicious transactions.



Pursuant to s.11(3) and (5) POCA, REAs must determine the extent of CDD measures on a risk-sensitive basis depending on the type of customer, business relationship, product or transaction. In doing so REAs must at least, take into account the following list of risk variables:

1. the purpose of an account or relationship;
2. the level of assets to be deposited by a customer or the size of transactions undertaken; and
3. the regularity or duration of the business relationship.

REAs must also be able to demonstrate to the OFT that the CDD measures applied is appropriate in view of the identified ML/TF/PF risks.

Pursuant to s.11(3)(b) POCA, REAs must be able to demonstrate to the OFT that the extent of CDD measures applied to a customer, business relationship (see 7.2), product or transaction is appropriate in view of the risks of ML/TF/PF that have been identified.

#### **6.4 Who needs to be checked?**

Appropriate CDD must always be completed on your customer.

S.8(3) POCA specified that a REA is to be treated as entering into a business relationship:

1. with a purchaser (as well as with a seller), at the point when the purchaser's offer is accepted by the seller; and
2. with a tenant (as well as with a landlord), at the point when the tenant's offer is accepted by the landlord.

Where a REA represents the landowner (the seller or landlord) it will also therefore have to apply CDD measures to both parties in the property transaction.

Where a REA is the sole agent involved in a transaction it will have to do CDD on both parties. This is because contractually the landowner is usually the REAs' customer and the other party is the applicant.

In transactions with more than one REA (e.g. where commissions are split), the REA representing an applicant will only have to carry out CDD on their customer (the purchaser or tenant). A REA representing the landowner will have to carry out CDD on both.

The identity of the relevant parties must be known and their identity must be verified on the basis of documents, data or information obtained from a reliable and independent source (s.10(1)(e) POCA).

REAs must also apply ongoing CDD measures to existing business relationships (see section 7).

#### **6.5 Identifying the customer.**

REAs are required to understand the nature of their customers' business and their ownership and control structures.

Where customers are companies, then not only must REAs know the person they are dealing with, but also who the ultimate beneficial owner (**BO**) of the company is (see 6.6).

Where customers are the trustee of a trust (or other similar legal arrangement) REAs must not only verify the identity of the beneficiaries (the BOs), but also that of the settlor, the trustee(s) and the protector (if any).

The identity of the customer must be known and their identity must be verified on the basis of documents, data or information obtained from a reliable and independent source.

Similarly, the identity of any beneficial owner must be known and verified (see 6.6).

### **6.6 What is a beneficial owner (BO)?**

The definition of 'beneficial owner' is set out in s.7(1A) POCA. Identifying all BOs is a specific requirement in s.10(b) POCA.

A BO is an individual (it must be a 'natural person' as opposed to a corporation) who will ultimately benefit from a transaction or business relationship.

Where an individual is conducting a transaction or activity for their own benefit then they are the beneficial owner (s.7.(1A)(a)(i) POCA).

If, however, a transaction is being carried out by your customer on behalf of another person then the BO is the individual

1. on whose behalf a transaction or activity is being conducted (s.7.(1A)(a)(ii) POCA); and/or
2. who ultimately owns or controls the customer entering into the transaction.

Where a REA's customer is entering into a transaction on behalf of another person the REA must identify and verify who that other person is. That person is the BO.

Broadly speaking where an individual either:

- 1 owns 25% plus one share of a company or has control of over 25% of a company (s. 7(1B) POCA) that is the REA's customer; or
- 2 is a settlor, trustee, protector or beneficiary of a trust (or similar) (s.7(1A) POCA),

that individual will be a BO.

In a transaction there may be multiple BOs. All must be identified and appropriate CDD completed on a risk-based approach.

For detailed and specific guidance about BOs and how to identify them refer to the [OFT's Beneficial Ownership Guidance Notes](#) which can be found in the '[AML/CFT/CPF](#)' section of the OFT's website: [www.oft.gov.gi](http://www.oft.gov.gi).

### **6.7 The Register of Ultimate Beneficial Ownership**

Pursuant to s.11(4A) POCA, where a REA is required to apply CDD measures to a corporate or legal entity (e.g. a company) or a trust it shall collect proof of registration (or an excerpt) of the BO's registration on the Gibraltar Register of Ultimate Beneficial Owners (<https://ubosearch.egov.gi>).

Pursuant to Regulation 26A(1) of the Register of Ultimate Beneficial Owners, Nominators and Appointors Regulations 2017, where it becomes apparent to a REA that the information obtained from the Register is materially inconsistent with other information in its possession, the REA must report this to the Finance Centre Director within 30 days of their discovery.

### **6.8 What happens if I have difficulties or am unable to collect due diligence?**

In relation to companies, you must exhaust all possible means to determine who its BO is (s.7(1A) POCA). This will involve making appropriate and proactive enquiries. 'All possible means' is a high threshold and you must be able to demonstrate the efforts made to identify BOs to the OFT. The OFT must be satisfied that these have been sufficient in the circumstances.

However, if after having exhausting all possible means:

1. there is doubt as to who the BO is; or
2. no person is identified as the BO,

then the BO shall be the individual exercising control over the company via other means (s7(1A)(c)(ii) POCA).

If, after having exhausted all possible means, there is still doubt about who the individual exercising control is, then the BO shall be the individual who holds the senior management position in the customer (s7(1A)(c)(ii) & (iv) POCA).

More generally, pursuant to s.15(1) POCA, if any person or entity is unable or unwilling to submit the relevant CDD documents requested and the REA is unable to carry out appropriate CDD measures it should not proceed with the transaction and, where applicable, terminate the business relationship. If the relationship is not terminated this should be recorded.

Furthermore, the REA must submit a SAR to the GFIU in relation to the customer (see sections 5.8 to 5.10).

REAs should also keep a record of any difficulties encountered during the CDD process (s.10(l) POCA).

REAs are prohibited from carrying out transactions for anonymous customers or customers which have provided aliases or fictitious names.

### **6.9 Applying CDD measures.**

CDD measures allow a REA to assess a customer's AML/CFT/CPF risk and whether a transaction may proceed without a real risk of the REA being involved in a transaction linked to ML/TF/PF.

The level of CDD the REA must apply is determined on a risk-based approach (s.10, POCA) and will depend on the ML/TF/PF risk posed to the business by the customer and the transaction. The risk must be assessed by considering each party to the transaction, the type of transaction, the nature of the business relationship and any other information or concerns the business may have about the parties or transaction.

While verifying the identity of customers is one of its primary goals, CDD goes beyond simply carrying out identity checks. People which are well known to the business may become involved in illegal activity e.g. if their personal circumstances change or they face some new financial pressure.

CDD measures should also reduce this risk and the opportunities for staff to be corrupted and complicit in ML/TF/PF.

REAs are also required to understand the nature of their customer's business and its ownership and control structure.

### **6.10 Applying a risk-based approach**

REAs must determine the extent of CDD measures taking a risk-based approach to the verification of the identity of:

1. customers (s.10(e) POCA);
2. all beneficial owners (s.10(e) POCA) (see 6.6); and
3. the source of funds and wealth of the customer and beneficial owners (s.10(f) POCA) (see 6.16).

Therefore, the level of CDD measures applied to a particular transaction must reflect the ML/TF/PF risk faced by that type of customer, business relationship and transaction.

A low perceived ML/TF/PF risk assessment by the business will allow it to conduct simplified CDD (see 6.11).

A high perceived ML/TF/PF risk assessment shall on the other hand require the business to implement enhanced CDD measures (see 6.12).

Medium perceived ML/TF/PF risks shall require elements of both simplified and enhanced CDD, depending on the risk identified.

For guidance on how to identify money laundering and terrorist financing risks please see Schedule 1.

The OFT strongly recommends that REAs introduce an appropriate methodology to effectively and consistently determine what CDD measures need to be applied to customers or transactions based on their ML/TF/PF risk. The OFT has created [sample CDD forms](#) that can be downloaded from the '[AML/CFT/CPF](#)' section of the OFT's website: [www.oft.gov.gi](http://www.oft.gov.gi)

### **6.11 Low risk customers: Simplified customer due diligence.**

Pursuant to s.16 POCA, where a REA, having applied CDD measures:

1. identifies areas of lower risk;
2. has ascertained that the business relationship or the transaction presents a lower degree of risk; and
3. has not identified a suspicion or knowledge of ML/TF/PF, or proliferation financing,

it may record the reasons why it perceives a reduced risk and apply simplified customer due diligence measures. For guidance on how to identify low risk customers see Schedule 1.

Simplified CDD can include, but may not be limited to, collecting the following basic information verified on the basis of documents, data or information obtained from a reliable and independent source (s.10(1)(e) POCA):

#### 1. Customers and beneficial owners:

- i. full Name;
- ii. date of birth;
- iii. residential address;
- iv. a copy of the customer's original Passport/ID (or any other Government-issued photographic document); and
- v. the customer's source of wealth (e.g. employment) (see 6.16) and supporting documentation;

#### 2. Companies and other legal entities (s.10(g) POCA):

- i. its name, legal form and proof of existence;
- ii. the powers that regulate and bind the corporate or legal entity;
- iii. the names of the relevant persons having a senior management position in the corporate or legal entity;
- iv. the address of its registered office and, if different, its principal place of business.

For Gibraltar companies an up to date company profile issued by Companies House and an independently verified copy of the company's Memorandum and Articles of Association would be sufficient. If a company profile is not available, the following independently verified corporate documents would assist:

- i. Certificate of incorporation;

- ii. Register of Members; and
- iii. Register of Directors; and

A declaration would be required in relation to the principal place of business of the company if it was different to the address of the registered office;

3. Trusts(s.10(h) POCA):

- i. its name, legal form and proof of existence;
- ii. the powers that regulate and bind the trust;
- iii. the principal place of business of the trustees.

An independently verified copy of the trust deed (or other similar legal document) establishing and setting out the nature of that arrangement and a declaration regarding the principal place of business of the trustees should be considered;

- 4. for legal entities such as foundations, and legal arrangements similar to trusts refer to s.10(i) POCA.

REAs must keep copies of due diligence documents (see section 7).

**6.12 High risk customers: Enhanced CDD measures.**

REAs must apply enhanced CDD measures to appropriately manage and mitigate risks when dealing with -

- 1. customers or transactions identified as being high risk (see Schedule 1, paragraph 3);
- 2. natural persons or legal entities established in third countries identified by Gibraltar as a high risk third countries (s.17(1)(b) and s. 17(6) POCA); and

- 3. in other circumstances as set out in s.17(1) POCA.

The OFT would expect to see enhanced CDD when dealing with politically exposed person, or their family members and close associates (s.17(1)(a), s.20 and s.20B POCA) (see 6.15). Pursuant to s.20(1)(c) POCA, it is a requirement to carry out enhanced ongoing monitoring of a relationship with politically exposed persons (see 7.4).

For guidance on how to identify high risk customers see Schedule 1.

When dealing with high risk customers it is important to perform enhanced CDD as a result of the increased risk of ML/TF/PF. MLROs must keep records as to why, in their view, the need for enhanced CDD is appropriate to the risk posed by the business relationship.

Example of enhanced CDD for customers and beneficial owners include obtaining:

- 1. two copies of the customer's Passport/ID which are certified as true copy of the original by a third party professional;
- 2. two independently verified documents, which is no more than 3 months old, demonstrating proof of the customer's and the beneficial owner's address such as a utility bill or bank statement. For utility bills these should demonstrate that there is sufficient usage of the utilities to demonstrate occupation;
- 3. Additional information on:
  - i. the customer's and on the beneficial owners' business and background;
  - ii. the intended nature of the business relationship; and
  - iii. the reasons for the transactions; and

4. Documentation establishing the provenance of the customer's and the beneficial owners' source of wealth and source of funds commensurate to their background and the transaction (see 6.16).

It is recommended that REAs should obtain the approval of senior management for establishing or continuing a business relationship with a customer requiring enhanced CDD. This is a requirement in relation to politically exposed person (s.20(1)(a) POCA).

REAs must keep copies of CDD documents (see section 7).

#### 6.13 What am I looking for?

REAs have to consider all CDD documentation, along with all other surrounding factors and information about the customer and the type of transaction, to determine if there is a risk of ML/TF/PF. REAs are also required to understand the nature of their customer's business and its ownership and control structure.

This information will permit the REA's MLRO to assess the ML/TF/PF risk posed by a customer or a transaction and determine whether the transaction falls within the risk appetite of the business (see 4.4) and whether to report suspicious activity to the GFU. Essentially the MLRO must be satisfied that there is no risk of ML/TF/PF through the transaction before proceeding.

Some examples of suspicious activity specific to the REA include occasions where a customer:

1. appears unwilling to submit any identification documents or having his details in any document related to the property;

2. seems uninterested in the property value or viewing and inspecting the property;
3. acquires several properties within a short period of time;
4. wishes to proceed with a transaction without the assistance of a lawyer or legal representative;
5. requests information from the business reference its AML/CFT/CPF , policies, controls and procedures;
6. intends to pay the full property price without requiring financing (mortgage/loan);
7. wishes to make the property payment through various transactions involving complex legal structures which do not make any commercial sense; and
8. asks whether rental payments can be made or received in cash only.

For guidance on how to identify ML/TF/PF risks please see Schedule 1.

#### 6.14 Non face-to-face transactions

Pursuant to s.18 POCA, where the customer has not been physically present for identification purposes, REAs must take specific and adequate measures to compensate for the higher risk this presents. This may for instance include:

1. ensuring that the customer's identity is established by additional documents, data or information;
2. applying measures to verify or certify the documents provided, e.g. requiring a third party professional with AML/CFT/CPF expertise to certify them e.g. a lawyer; and
3. ensuring that any payments are carried out through an account opened in the customer's name with a bank.



### 6.15 Politically exposed persons.

A politically exposed person (PEP) is a person who is or has been entrusted with a prominent public function locally or internationally (see definition in paragraph 4 of Schedule 1). These individuals are at a higher risk of being connected to ML/TF/PF due to the position and influence they hold and because they can be susceptible to corruption.

Pursuant to s.26(2)(c) POCA a REA must have policies, controls and procedures in place to determine whether a customer or the BO of a customer is:

1. a PEP;
2. a PEP's 'family member'; or
3. 'a person known to be their close associate',

(as defined in s.20A POCA).

Given Gibraltar's small size and the close nature of its community, there is a high likelihood that an REA's potential customer is a PEP, a PEP's family member, a PEP's close associate. These circumstances however may therefore make it easier for such persons to be identified.

Pursuant to s.20(3) POCA, for the purpose of deciding whether a person is a known close associate of a PEP a REA need only have regard to information which is in its possession or is publicly known.

The OFT would expect to see evidence of the enquiries made by the REA to determine if a person is a PEP, a PEP's family member or a PEP's close associate. This can include, but is not limited to, proof of search results carried out on relevant databases or social media sites (e.g. screenshots/print screens) or a paragraph in the customer risk assessment of what open source checks

were carried out and where and what enquiries were made. It should be noted that a self-declaration by a person that they are not a PEP, a PEP's family member or a PEP's close associate on its own is not considered sufficient by the OFT to satisfy the requirements of POCA.

Pursuant to s.20(1) POCA, before entering into a transaction with a PEP, a PEP's family member, a PEP's close associate or a customer whose BO is a PEP, the REA must:

1. have approval from senior management for establishing or continuing the business relationship; and
2. take adequate measures to establish the source of wealth and funds which are involved in the existing or proposed transaction.

The OFT would expect to see enhanced CDD before entering into a transaction with PEPs, their family members and close associates (s.17(1)(a), s.20 and s.20B POCA) (see 6.12).

Pursuant to s.20(1)(c) POCA, it is a requirement to carry out enhanced ongoing monitoring of a relationship with PEPs (see 7.4)

S.20B POCA also sets out the additional continuing obligations with regard to former PEPs.

For in depth guidance on PEPs please refer to the [FATF's guidance](#) which can be found in the '[AML/CFT/CPF' section](#) of the OFT's website ([www.oft.gov.gi](http://www.oft.gov.gi))

### 6.16 What is meant by source of funds and source of wealth?

A customer's source of funds and source of wealth can be good indicators that they are involved in criminal activity.



Source of wealth describes how the customer, or their family, has acquired their total wealth. Examples include investments, business interests, employment income and inheritances.

Source of funds refers to the origin of money that is used for a specific transaction. Examples include personal savings, pension releases, dividends, property sales, gambling winnings, inheritances and gifts. In establishing source of funds REAs must seek to understand not only where funds come from (i.e. the account from which they were transferred) but also the activity from which the funds were generated, e.g. employment, the sale of property or an inheritance.

Where a customer's source of funds and source of wealth do not match a customer's other CDD information, their background, risk profile or other pertinent characteristics e.g. their transaction history, REAs should use that information to inform their AML/CFT/CPF response, including collecting further appropriate CDD e.g. scrutinising customer bank statements to support the information provided.

For more guidance on verifying source of funds and source of wealth please refer to Schedule 3.

### **6.17 Risk assessments**

REAs are required to keep a written risk assessment in respect of all its customers and the action taken in respect of any suspicious activity detected.

The OFT strongly recommends that all REAs keep a risk assessment file as they must demonstrate to the OFT that the CDD measures it has applied are appropriate to the client and the transaction in view of the risks of ML/TF/PF identified. Transaction records should be sufficient to permit

reconstruction of individual transactions so as to provide, if necessary, evidence for prosecution or criminal activity.

### **6.18 Can I rely on someone else's due diligence?**

If a REA is satisfied that a third party falls within the criteria of s.23 POCA and has already carried out appropriate CDD measures on its customers, they may rely on that CDD as long as:

1. the third party consents to being relied on (s23(1)(b) POCA);
2. the REA is satisfied that the CDD measures are appropriate to the customer's level of risk as assessed by the REA; and
3. the REA is satisfied that the CDD measures are current and up to date.

Copies of the CDD documentation should be provided by the third party to the REA for its records. Pursuant to s25(5) POCA, if requested to by the REA, the third party has an obligation to make available any information about the customer and any beneficial owner (including any identification and verification data and other relevant documents)

The REA must carry out its own risk assessment on the customer, any beneficial owner and the transaction based on the CDD documentation received prior to the REA entering into a transaction or business relationship with the customer. A REA should not rely on the third parties' risk assessments!

In accordance with s.23(1)(b) and s.23(4), the responsibility to carry out CDD measures, to risk assess and to keep records on its customers shall always ultimately remain with the REA.

### **6.19 When do I report suspicious activity?**

This will depend on the risk assessment carried out and is ultimately a question for the MLRO, having considered all information it has about the customer and the transaction through the CDD measures.

It should be made where the MLRO has either knowledge (see 5.6) or is suspicious (see 5.7) that ML/TF/PF is or may be taking place.

If in doubt, submit a SAR! (see 5.5).

### **6.20 Tipping off**

Pursuant to s.11(5A) POCA where, during the course of applying CDD measures, the REA knows, suspects or has reasonable grounds to suspect that the person subject to such measures or another person is engaged in ML/TF/PF or proliferation financing, or is attempting any one or more of those acts, the REA must, where it is of the opinion that to continue would result in

the tipping-off of the person, cease applying CDD measures, and shall make a relevant disclosure to the GFU without delay.

Tipping-off is an offence and MLROs and employees should take care not to disclose prohibited information. The OFT strongly recommends that MLROs become acquainted with tipping-off obligations.

### **6.21 Ongoing monitoring**

Where REAs have ongoing business relationship with its customers the REAs are required to carry out ongoing monitoring and CDD of that business relationships. (see section 7).

### **6.22 Records.**

REAs must keep copies of the documents requested while conducting CDD procedures along with all relevant documents appertaining to the business relationship (see section 9).

---

## **7. Ongoing monitoring**

### **7.1 Ongoing monitoring**

REAs are required to carry out ongoing monitoring and due diligence of existing business relationships. This requirement is set out in 11(2) and s.12 POCA.

Ongoing monitoring does not apply to occasional, one-off transactions in relation to which REAs provided services in respect of a single sale or initial rental of a property. It may however apply to customers who have been provided such services more than once or on an ongoing basis (e.g. ongoing services in relation to the rental or a property).

### **7.2 What are business relationships?**

Business Relationships are defined in s.8 POCA. It means a business, professional or commercial relationship which is connected with the professional activities of a REA's activities and which is expected, at the time when contact is established, to have an element of duration.

As set out in s.8(3) POCA:

1. a REA is to be treated as entering into a business relationship with a purchaser (as well as with a seller), at the point when the purchaser's offer is accepted by the seller; and
2. a Letting Agent is to be treated as entering into a business relationship with a tenant (as well as with a landlord), at

the point when the tenant's offer is accepted by the landlord.

### 7.3 What does ongoing monitoring involve?

Pursuant to s.12(2) POCA, ongoing monitoring means:

1. scrutinising transactions undertaken throughout the course of the business relationship to ensure that they are consistent with the REA's knowledge of the customer, their business, their risk profile and their source of funds. This should include both real-time monitoring of the customer's transactions, and retrospective monitoring, (i.e. the analysis of the past transactions of the customer) in order to understand the nature of the customer's transactions and to identify any suspicious transactions performed; and
2. undertaking reviews of existing records (and updating these where necessary) to ensure that the documents, data or information obtained for the purpose of applying CDD measures (see section 6) is kept up-to-date and relevant.

REAs must determine the intensity, scope and extent of ongoing monitoring and the relevant monitoring criteria on a risk-sensitive basis taking into account the business's ML/TF/PF risk (see section 3) and the customers' ML/TF/PF risk, including the type of customer, business relationship, product or transaction. You must be able to demonstrate to the OFT that the extent of the measures is appropriate in view of these ML/TF/PF risks.

The intensity, scope and scenarios for monitoring business relationships and transactions, and the relevant monitoring

criteria used, should be determined taking into account the following factors:

1. the type of the monitoring (retrospective, real-time);
2. the risk profile of the customer;
3. the risk profile of the geography or territory in which the business is carried out; and
4. the risk profile of the transactions.

It should be noted that pursuant to s.20(1)(c) POCA, it is a requirement to carry out enhanced ongoing monitoring of a relationship with politically exposed persons (see 7.4).

### 7.4 When do I need to do this?

Ongoing monitoring must be carried out at regular intervals on a risk-sensitive basis depending on the type of customer, business relationship, product or transaction (s.12(3) and 11(3)(a) POCA). As with CDD, REAs must therefore adapt their ongoing monitoring measures using a risk-based approach (see 6.10 to 6.12).

As an indicator the OFT would expect that:

1. high risk business, requiring enhanced ongoing monitoring, relationships are reviewed at least every year;
2. medium risk business relationships are reviewed every two years; and
3. low risk business relationships are reviewed every three years.

These time frames are indicative only and you must adapt your ongoing monitoring to your business's and the customer's risk as determined and recorded by your business.

When dealing with high risk transactions or customers requiring enhanced CDD, REAs must conduct enhanced monitoring of the business relationship by increasing the

number and timing of controls applied, and selecting patterns of transactions that need further examination.

REAs must also carry out targeted financial sanction screening on existing customers as and when the sanctions lists are updated (see section 8). REAs must therefore have systems to be notified when this happens (see 8.5).

Further monitoring and CDD measures must also be carried out when the relevant circumstances of a customer change. When this occurs the REA must apply CDD measures to the existing customer on the basis of materiality and on a risk sensitive basis (s.11(2)(a) POCA)

### 7.5 When do the relevant circumstances of a customer change?

Any material change to a customer will trigger the need for a REA to reapply CDD measures. A material change is one which would require a reasonable REA to reassess the ML/TF/PF risk of the business relationship in light of those changes. There is no exhaustive list for what a material change is, however material changes can include:

1. a change in the nature or regularity of the transactions carried out by the business (see Schedule 1, paragraph 5, patterns of business); or
2. a change to the ownership or management of the customer.

REAs should not just take into consideration the risk profile of the existing customer but also the impact that that customer's business may have on the REA as a whole. For example, a customer may be considered low risk but their business represents a substantial part of the REA's turnover. A trigger event that would not be material for smaller customers may be material for a REAs large customers, triggering the need apply CDD measures once again.

Applying a risk based approach, REAs should take into consideration the evolving risk profile of existing customers when assessing their ML/TF/PF risks. While customers with a consistent risk profile are not exempt from ongoing CDD measures, resources should be focussed on those which are more recent, or those with changes in the pattern of business or type of properties they are interested in.

---

## 8. Targeted Financial Sanctions

### 8.1 What are targeted financial sanctions?

Targeted financial sanctions (TFS) are legal restrictions imposed by the United Nations, European Union, United Kingdom or Gibraltar against states, people, businesses, organisations and financial institutions (the **designated persons**) in appropriate cases to achieve specific international policy or security objectives. TFS include both asset freezing and prohibitions to prevent funds or other assets from being made available,

directly or indirectly, for the benefit of designated persons.

TFSs can be issued in relation to Terrorist Financing (see 1.8) or Proliferation Financing (see 1.9).

### 8.2 Why do I need to know about sanctions?

It is an offence under s.9 of the Sanctions Act 2019 to breach, or to assist a breach, of an international sanction. You are not

therefore allowed to deal with designated persons that are subject to a TFS unless you have a licence, permit or other authorisation to do so issued in accordance with s.10 of the Act.

If you undertake an act that is prohibited by financial sanctions and, at the time you did so, you knew or had reasonable cause to suspect that the act was prohibited, you have breached financial sanctions and may face criminal prosecution or a financial penalty. REAs must therefore stay up-to-date with the sanctions regimes in force, to:

1. consider the likely exposure of their business to sanctions; and
2. take appropriate steps to mitigate those risks, taking into account the specific nature of their business activities.

### 8.3 What are my sanctions obligations?

Pursuant to s.8(3) of the Sanctions Act 2019, REAs must have policies, controls and procedures in place which ensure that:

1. they are aware of the lists of persons and entities to whom international sanctions apply; and
2. they undertake appropriate checks of the lists when undertaking business in relation to both new and existing customers.

The OFT recommends that REAs subscribe to the consolidated lists of UN, UK and EU sanctions so that they can screen their new and existing customers against the lists. The list can be found in the [‘Sanctions’ section of the GFIU’s website \(www.gfiu.gov.gi/sanctions\)](https://www.gfiu.gov.gi/sanctions). Here you will also have access to the [GFIU’s Financial Sanctions Guidance Notes](https://www.gfiu.gov.gi/sanctions-guidance) to assist you further.

### 8.4 Who needs to be checked?

REAs must TFS screen:

1. every person or entity in relation to which a REA is required to carry out CDD measures (see section 6);
2. all entities in the control and ownership structure of their customer; and
3. any other person or entity that is involved in a transaction and that they determine should be screened.

The OFT would expect to see evidence of the searches carried out by the REA on relevant sanctions databases. This can include, but is not limited to, proof of searches carried out on the TFS databases (e.g. screenshots/print screens of negative search results).

### 8.5 When do I carry out these checks?

Unlike CDD, the carrying out of TFS obligations is not a risk-based and therefore applies to all customers.

REAs must carry out TFS checks:

1. when they have a new customer or business relationship; and
2. on existing customers or business relationships as and when the TFS lists are updated. REAs must therefore have systems to be notified when this happens. The OFT recommends that REAs subscribe to the integrated sanctions notification email provided through GFIU’s Themis online system that will provide all users with the latest updates of the relevant financial sanctions. For more information and to sign up to the system visit <https://www.gfiu.gov.gi/reporting>.

## 8.6 What do I do if I have a positive match?

If you carry out a search on a new or existing customer and you get a positive sanctions match this will need to be investigated further and may require the REA to obtain further information in order to assess whether the information reveals that sanctions apply to that person or entity.

You should consider whether you have a false positive, i.e. a match to a designated persons or entity, either due to the common nature of the name or due to ambiguous identifying data, which, on examination, proves not to be a positive sanctions match. You should use all the information at your disposal to determine this on a risk-based approach. If in doubt treat it as a positive sanctions match.

If you have a positive sanctions match you must:

1. immediately freeze any identified assets or funds held or controlled by that person or entity without delay;
2. not deal with the assets or make them available to the designated person or entity; and
3. make a disclosure to the GFIU. When reporting you must include:
  - i. the information or other matter on which the knowledge or suspicion is based;
  - ii. any information you hold about the designated person or entity by which they can be identified; and

- iii. details of any funds and economic resources that you have frozen.

## 8.7 Is TFS screening all I need to do?

It should be noted that REAs should not rely exclusively on screening TFS lists to meet their TFS obligations. While sanctions screening is a primary control, it has its limitations and should be deployed alongside a broader set of non-screening controls to effectively implement sanctions regimes. REAs should therefore also consider information from the UN Security Council to identify red flags for high risk scenarios based on risk factors, including (but not limited to) the nature of their business, their customer base and geographical risks.

## 8.8 Is everything I need to know about sanctions contained in this guidance?

No! This guidance only set out brief overview of the applicability of the Sanctions Act 2019 to the REA sector. There are other obligations in the Act that are not referred to in this document. You should not therefore regard this document as an exhaustive authority. [The full body of the Act](#) may be found in the 'Documents' section of the ['AML/CFT/CPF' page](#) of the OFT's Website ([www.oft.gov.gi](http://www.oft.gov.gi)).

The OFT recommends that REAs read the GFIU's Financial Sanctions Guidance Notes that can be downloaded from the ['Sanctions' section](#) of the GFIU's website ([www.gfiu.gov.gi/sanctions](http://www.gfiu.gov.gi/sanctions)).



## 9. Record keeping, data & annual returns

### 9.1 What records must be kept?

REAs are required to keep records pursuant to s.25 POCA. These records are:

1. copies of the documents and information obtained when carrying out CDD measures (see section 6) (see 9.2 and 9.3); and
2. the supporting evidence and records of all transactions, both domestic and international, including account files and business correspondence, and results of any analysis undertaken, as well as any other information that may reasonably be necessary to identify such transactions.

The OFT strongly recommends that REAs also keep records of staff training (see 10.3).

The records and data must be readily available for inspection by the OFT on request (Regulation 11(c) and 12(1)(b) of the Supervisory Bodies (Powers etc.) Regulations 2017).

### 9.2 Transactions and business relationships

REAs' general record keeping obligations are set out in s.25 POCA. All REAs must have appropriate systems in place for recording and storing:

1. a copy of the documents and information collected while applying CDD measures (see section 6);
2. the supporting evidence and records of all property sale and property rental transactions (see 9.3);
3. the written risk assessment of all customers and business relationships the action taken in respect to any

suspicious activity detected (see 6.14); and

4. the action taken in respect of any suspicious activity detected (see 5.5 to 5.7).

In addition, REAs must also keep the records of any difficulties encountered during the CDD process (s.10(l) POCA).

### 9.3 What type of data must be collected about these transactions?

As much data and information as you can about the transactions, including account files and correspondence, as well as any other information that may reasonably be necessary to identify such transactions. The evidence and records must be sufficient so as to permit the reconstruction of individual transactions so as to provide evidence for the prosecution of criminal activity where necessary (s.25(2A) POCA).

You must also keep sufficient data to allow you to complete and submit Annual Returns to the OFT (see 9.6).

### 9.4 How long must I keep the records for?

REAs must keep these records for inspection for five years after the date of the relevant transaction or the date when staff training was delivered (s.25(3) POCA).

### 9.5 What will the records be used for?

The OFT may use its powers to request copies of the REA's records at any time. REAs should be able to provide the records and data to the OFT swiftly.

REAs are also required to review their CDD records in accordance with ongoing requirements of s.12(2)(b) POCA (see section 7).



Additionally, REAs are required to submit annual financial data returns to the OFT providing information and data about established business relationships and financial transactions received by the business during that year which should correspond with the REA's records.

The [annual financial data return form](#) can be found in the '[AML/CFT/CPF](#)' section of the OFT's website: [www.oft.gov.gi](http://www.oft.gov.gi).

### 9.6 When are the Annual Returns due?

Two returns will be submitted annually:

1. Financial data return - The new REA annual return process requires that financial data be submitted by REAs. The reporting period shall be from 1 January to 31 December of the previous year. The returns must be submitted by 31 March every year. This amended reporting period allows the OFT to collect more up to date, uniform and easily comparable data from each REA annually.
2. Non-financial data - Prior to the renewal of their business licence REAs will receive a business licence renewal notice which shall require them, as part of the renewal process, to provide non-financial data for the licence term which is due to expire.

### 9.7 What if I miss the deadline?

We strongly urge that you take the appropriate steps to ensure that your business submits its annual returns on time. REAs who have failed to fulfil their responsibilities may not be allowed to renew their business licence. They may also

be subject to enforcement action by the OFT that may include:

1. a fine;
2. the suspension or revocation of their business licence; and/or
3. temporary bans for persons in managerial positions.

### 9.8 What will the OFT do with the Annual Return?

The information will allow the OFT to:

1. collect data about REA transactions;
2. monitor REAs' compliance with their obligations under POCA and this guidance; and
3. identify suspicious trends and money laundering and terrorism financing schemes.

This data will also help the OFT analyse each REA on a risk based approach to determine the likelihood of the REA being targeted by criminals.

The OFT may carry out onsite visits and seek information from REAs in relation to the information contained in annual returns to ensure that these are being completed accurately. The OFT may also request REAs records to examine and investigate any suspicious activity.

The failure by a REA to submit an Annual return is automatically considered as non-compliance by the OFT.

The data may be provided to other AML/CFT/CPF supervisory authorities and law enforcement bodies as permitted under the law.

## 10. Employer & employee responsibilities

### 10.1 What are my responsibilities as an employer?

REAs must ensure that they have screening procedures to ensure high standards when hiring employees.

Additionally, REAs have a duty to ensure that its employees are regularly given training to know what ML/TF/PF is and how to recognise and deal with transactions and other activities which may be related to ML/TF/PF (s.27(1)(b) POCA). REAs must take appropriate measures, having regard to the risks, the nature of the business and its size, so that its employees are made aware of the law relating to ML/TF/PF and relevant data protection requirements (s.27(1)(a) POCA).

Employees should be familiar with:

1. the requirements in POCA and this guidance;
2. the ML/TF/PF risk to which the REA sector generally is exposed (see Schedule 2);
3. the specific ML/TF/PF risk to which the REA is exposed (see section 3);
4. the REA's AML/CFT/CPF policies, controls and procedures including CDD measures (see sections 4 and 6);
5. how to manage business transactions on a risk based approach and identify high risk customers and/or high risk behaviour (see section 6);
6. how to report suspicious activity to the MLRO;
7. the penalties for committing offences under POCA and related legislation; and

8. relevant data protection requirements (s.27(1)(a)(ii) POCA).

It is essential to also train employees to understand how ML/TF/PF schemes could take place through the business by providing examples of this (see Schedule 2 for examples of money laundering methods and schemes through REAs).

### 10.2 How often does training need to be given?

Employee must be regularly given training. Employee training must be an ongoing exercise which is regularly under review. Risk assessments and policies must be regularly updated and circulated to members of staff.

### 10.3 Records.

The OFT strongly recommends that REAs must keep a staff training record to demonstrate to the OFT that its staff are aware of the business's AML/CFT/CPF policies, controls and procedures and relevant ML/TF/PF risks (see section 9).

### 10.4 What responsibilities do employees of REAs have?

Employees of REAs must:

1. know who their MLRO is and what the MLRO's role is;
2. be able to detect suspicious activity and report it to the MLRO;
3. be aware of the steps taken by the business to ensure it is not used for ML/TF/PF;
4. have access to and familiarise themselves with all of the business's AML/CFT/CPF policies and procedures; and

5. be aware of the penalties for committing offences under POCA and related legislation.

### 10.5 Who is responsible for offering training?

It is the responsibility of the REA to provide adequate training to its employees (see sections 10.1 to 10.2). While the OFT provides [significant content on its website](#) which may be used for training purposes

([www.oft.gov.gi](http://www.oft.gov.gi)) and often shares opportunities for training with REAs, this alone may not be enough to ensure the REA meets its training obligations. The OFT should not solely be relied upon to provide training.

The [GFIU's e-Nexus program](#) provides online interactive training sessions regarding AML/CFT/CPF which REAs may find useful.

---

## 11. REA's Duty to Report Ownership, Management & MLRO Changes

### 11.1 REA reporting requirements.

Pursuant to the OFT's powers in Regulations 11(1)(c), 11(3) and 12(1)(b) of the Supervisory Bodies (Powers Etc.) Regulations 2017, the OFT requires all REAs to report to the OFT where there is a change to their ownership or management.

### 11.2 What changes must be reported?

REAs must notify the OFT of any changes to:

1. the beneficial ownership of a REA, including, but not limited to shareholders, partners and silent partners;
2. the board of directors, an executive and/or another senior manager of a REA;
3. a person holding or appearing to the OFT to intend to hold a management function in a REA;
4. a person in accordance with whose wishes or directions any person involved in the carrying on of the business of a

REA acts or (including persons it appears to the OFT is in that position); And

5. the appointed MLRO.

### 11.3 When do I need to report the change?

The OFT must be notified in writing within seven days of the relevant change.

### 11.4 What will the OFT do with the information?

Upon receipt of a notification the OFT shall conduct a fit and proper assessment of that person in accordance with the OFT's legal obligations.

### 11.5 Failure to notify

Where a REA fails to notify the OFT of a change to their ownership or management as required by this section within the time limit stipulated it shall regard the failure as non-compliance by the REA of its AML/CFT/CPF obligations and shall consider taking appropriate and proportionate enforcement action as necessary.

## 12. Useful contacts

### 12.1 Gibraltar Financial Intelligence Unit

The Gibraltar Financial Intelligence Unit (GFIU) receives, analyses and disseminates financial intelligence gathered from Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs) (see 5.8 above).

Suite 832, Europort, Gibraltar

Tel: (+350) 20070211

Fax: (+350) 20070233

E-mail: [gfiu@gcid.gov.gi](mailto:gfiu@gcid.gov.gi) .

---

# Schedule 1 - How to identify customer ML/TF/PF risk

## 1. Identifying risk factors.

This schedule sets out a number of common factors that a REA or its employees may take into account when carrying out an AML/CFT/CPF risk assessment of a customer or a transaction.

For assessing a REA's ML/TF/PF risk please refer to section 3.

Pursuant to s.17(3) POCA, REAs must examine, as far as reasonably possible, the background and purpose of all transactions that fulfil at least one of the following conditions as indicators of high risk:

1. they are complex transactions;
2. they are unusually large transactions;
3. they are conducted in an unusual pattern;
4. they do not have an apparent economic or lawful purpose, and in particular, a REA shall increase the degree and nature of monitoring of the business relationship, in order to determine whether those transactions or activities appear suspicious.

It is important to note however that these are only indicators to consider when assessing risk. The identification of one of these factors need not necessarily mean that ML/TF/PF is, or will be, taking place, but they will assist the REA and its employees in applying the risk based approach and ultimately deciding whether the activity, when considered with the rest of the information at their disposal, is suspicious.

The factors listed in this schedule are not an exhaustive list and the REA and its

employees should take into account all of the information at their disposal to determine if there is a ML/TF/PF risk. If more information is required, it should be requested before proceeding with a transaction to ensure that there is no ML/TF/PF risk.

## 2. Assessing low risk customers?

Pursuant to s.16(3) and s.(5) POCA, when assessing the risks of ML/TF/PF relating to types of customers, geographic areas, and particular products, services, transactions or delivery channels, a REA must take into account at least:

1. the factors of potentially lower risk situations set out in Schedule 6 POCA; and
2. the risks identified within any information that is made available to the REA pursuant to the National Coordinator for Anti-Money Laundering and Combatting Terrorist Financing Regulations 2016.

## 3. Who are high risk customers?

Pursuant to s.17(4) POCA, when assessing the risks of ML/TF/PF REAs must take into account at least the factors of potentially higher- risk situations set out in Schedule 7 POCA.

The following are indicators of high risk customers:

1. brand new customers carrying out large one-off transactions;
2. customers engaged in a business which involves the constant movement of significant amounts of cash;

3. customers who carry out transactions that:

- i) do not make commercial sense, e.g. selling properties at an undervalue;
- ii) have an unusual pattern; and/or
- iii) are complex.

4. for existing customers:

- i) the transaction is different from the normal business of the customer;
- ii) the size and frequency of the transaction is different from the customer's normal pattern;
- iii) the pattern has changed since the business relationship was established; and
- iv) there has been a significant or unexpected improvement in the customer's financial position and the customer can't give a proper explanation of where money came from.

5. complex business ownership structures with the potential to conceal underlying beneficial owners (REAs are required to understand the nature of the customer's business and its ownership and control structure);

6. politically exposed persons (these will always require enhanced CDD, see section 6.13 of the guidance and paragraph 4 below); and/or

7. persons:

- i) from high-risk jurisdictions;
- ii) transferring money from banks in high-risk jurisdictions; and/or
- iii) making payments in the currency of high-risk jurisdictions.

A list of high-risk jurisdictions can be found on the FATF's website: <http://www.fatf-gafi.org>.

#### 4. Who are politically exposed persons?

A politically exposed persons (**PEP**) is defined in s.20A POCA as a person who is or has been entrusted with prominent public functions and includes the following:

1. Heads of State, heads of government, ministers and deputy or assistant ministers;
2. Members of parliament or of similar legislative bodies;
3. Members of the governing bodies of political parties;
4. Members of supreme courts, of constitutional courts or of other;
5. High-level judicial bodies, the decisions of which are not subject to further appeal, except in exceptional circumstances;
6. Members of courts of auditors or of the boards of central banks;
7. Ambassadors, chargés d'affaires and high-ranking officers in the armed forces;
8. Members of the administrative, management or supervisory bodies of State-owned enterprises; and
9. Directors, deputy directors and members of the board or equivalent function of an international organisation

(Note however that middle-ranking or junior officials carrying out a public function referred to in 1 to 8 are not regarded as PEPs).

These individuals, who may be local or international PEPs, are usually at a higher risk of possible connection to money



laundering due to the position and influence they hold and will require enhanced CDD measures to be applied. This also includes the PEP's 'family members' and 'persons known to be close associates' (see definition in s.20A POCA).

For more information about transacting with PEPs see section 6.15 of the guidance. For in depth guidance on PEPs please refer to the [FATF's guidance](#) which can be found in the '[AML/CFT/CPF](#)' section of the OFT's website ([www.oft.gov.gi](http://www.oft.gov.gi)).

### **5. What is high-risk behaviour?**

When determining risk and to what extent to apply CDD measures a REA must, at least, take into account the following risk variables:

1. the purpose of the relationship;
2. the size of the transaction undertaken; and
3. the regularity or duration of the business relationship (s. 11(5) POCA).

The following are indicators of high-risk behaviour:

1. an unwillingness to produce evidence of ID or the production of unsatisfactory evidence of ID;
2. where the customer is, or appears to be, acting on behalf of another person, an unwillingness to give the name(s) of the person(s) they represent;
3. a willingness to bear very high or uncommercial penalties or charges;
4. an unwillingness to disclose the source of funds or source of wealth; and/or

5. situations where the customer's source of funds are unclear.

### **6. Monitoring patterns of business.**

Risk assessments must also include the review and monitoring of business patterns and unusual transactions. Monitoring these business patterns is essential to the implementation of an effective risk-based approach, for example:

1. a sudden increase in business from an existing customer;
2. uncharacteristic transactions which are not in keeping with the customer's financial situation;
3. peaks of activity at particular locations or properties; and/or
4. unfamiliar or untypical types of customer or transaction.

For more information on typical money laundering methods and schemes see Schedule 2.

### **7. Enhanced due diligence and reporting.**

The indicators above may, when assessed by the REA or its employees, require enhanced due diligence to ensure that the AML/CFT/CPF risk is understood appropriately and the necessary risk assessment is carried out (see section 6.10 of the guidance).

### **8. Using this information**

If the REA's MLRO, having considered all the factors surrounding the customer and the transaction, believes there is a risk of money laundering, they should submit a suspicious activity report (see sections 5.6 to 5.8 of the guidance).

## Schedule 2 - Money laundering methods & schemes

### 1. Money laundering through REAs.

Criminals wishing to launder illicit funds through the services provided by REAs use numerous schemes and complex procedures. In order to ensure the implementation of robust and adequate systems for the deterrence and detection of these schemes it is important for REAs to understand how their services can be manipulated and utilised by these criminals.

### 2. Common money laundering schemes

This schedule provides examples of common money laundering and terrorist financing schemes identified internationally. They are provided to illustrate examples of how Gibraltar REAs may be miss-used. It is important to note however that while these are only some of the more common schemes they are not an exhaustive list. Furthermore, they do not offer examples of money laundering schemes which have been identified in Gibraltar. REAs must therefore be vigilant of the AML/CFT/CPF risks specific to the REA sector in Gibraltar generally and conduct an appropriate risk assessment to identify the AML/CFT/CPF risk which are specific to the businesses (Section 3 of the guidance).

### 3. Examples

#### Property improvements and development:

Criminals wishing to increase the amount of money that can be laundered through the purchase of a property sometimes pay for improvements within the property with the use of illicit funds, enabling these funds to be integrated into the legitimate financial system once the property is sold at a higher price.

#### Loans and mortgages:

Criminals obtain loans or mortgages from lending entities as a cover to launder the criminal proceeds. The mortgage or loan is then paid in lump sums of cash repayments. This process hides the true nature of the funds and makes the cash payments used to make the repayments seem completely legitimate.

#### Third party property purchase:

Criminals provide illicit funds to third party individuals who purchase properties on behalf of the criminal. These individuals are usually family members of acquaintances who have no previous criminal records, ensuring the risk of suspicious activity detection is kept at a minimal.

#### Successive sales:

In order to decrease the level of detection even further, many criminals also make quick successive sales of properties at a much higher value to companies or trusts who are ultimately owned by the criminal or third parties associated to the criminal. This gives the criminal an opportunity to launder illicit funds whilst still maintaining the property under their 'possession'. It also conceals the criminal's ownership of the property, again reducing the risk of detection.

#### Non-local criminals investing in local property:

Non-local criminals may also try to purchase away from their home jurisdiction. This both conceals the illicit funds from regulating entities in their homeland and also avoids confiscation within their jurisdiction should their suspicious activity be detected.

#### Falsification of property value:

Criminals sell or buy properties at a value way below or above the property's true market price. When the property is under-evaluated the difference in value is then settled between the buyer and the seller through a private cash payment of illicit funds which is kept undisclosed to the REA. When a property is over evaluated this helps the criminal obtain a larger mortgage or loan from the lender, the mortgage or loan repayments are made using illicit funds. The higher the lending amount, the higher the amount of illicit funds which can be laundered by making the repayments.

#### Use of REA services to reduce suspicious activity detection:

Many services provided by REAs may unknowingly assist the criminal in the execution of their money laundering scheme. The criminal may request the business receive or transfer large amounts of cash on his behalf, deal with his loan or mortgage arrangements and hence use the REA to reflect legitimacy and professionalism within his scheme.

#### Rental and leasing:

Criminals may lease out properties and provide the tenant, in turn associated with the criminal, illicit funds to pay for the lease. In this process illicit funds are integrated into the system as legitimate rental income. Alternatively, the property may not be leased at all yet an arrangement is in place

for the owner to receive false rental payments from non-existent tenants.

A full or large payment of a long let rental is made in cash up front.

#### **4. More examples and information?**

For more information and concrete case studies on how the real estate sector can be used for money laundering or terrorist financing REAs can consult [the FATF's report on Money Laundering & Terrorist Financing through the Real Estate Sector](#) which can be found in the '[AML/CFT/CPF section](#)' of the OFT's website ([www.oft.gov.gi](http://www.oft.gov.gi)).

The study explores the means by which illicit money is channelled through the real-estate sector to be integrated into the legal economy and identifies some of the control points that could assist in combating this phenomenon.

#### **5. Newly identified local schemes**

In order to assist REAs with their AML/CFT/CPF regulatory requirements the OFT will update this guidance when it uncovers specific money laundering schemes which are using REAs in Gibraltar.

In the meantime, if any REA would like to highlight identified money laundering schemes or circumstances which may potentially lead to money laundering they may do so by contacting the OFT. The OFT will not disclose any sources to third parties other than to enforcement bodies and other relevant AML/CFT/CPF authorities.

## Schedule 3 – Verifying source of funds & wealth

### 1. Purpose of establishing/verifying source of funds and funds

Pursuant to s.10(f) POCA, the application of CDD measures includes “taking a risk-based approach to the verification of source of funds and wealth of the customer and beneficial owners”.

As defined by the Financial Action Task Force (FATF):

1. Source of funds – *“refers to the origin of the funds or assets which are the subject of the business relationship between the [REA] and its client and the transactions the [REA] is required to undertake on the client’s behalf (e.g., the amounts being invested, deposited or remitted)”*.
2. Source of wealth - refers *“to the origin of the entire body of wealth (i.e., total assets) of the client”*. The purpose of obtaining a customer’s source of wealth and funds is to assist the firm in developing an assessment of the economic profile of each customer. This profile should be scrutinised throughout the length of the business relationship to aid in the identification of any suspicious activity.

### 2. Plausible verifiability

The minimum CDD requirements to satisfy yourself on source of funds and wealth is to obtain documents to a level of plausible verifiability. This should be applied to low and medium risk clients.

The term “plausible verifiability” is made up of two parts:

1. Plausible - This is the documentation which evidences that the customer’s economic activity is commensurate with the information obtained by the REA

through its CDD process. It should be clear to the REA that the funds a customer is providing are in line with the information held on the customer.

2. Verifiability - This is documentation relating to the economic activity of a client to a level of detail that would enable the REA, the OFT, law enforcement agencies or other bodies to verify the source of income/wealth if the customer’s risk profile increased, or ML/TF/PF/PF was known or suspected.

### 3. Independent verification

In cases of higher risk, it is no longer considered adequate to apply standard/simplified CDD (see 6.11) and REAs must apply enhanced CDD measures (see 6.12). In these cases, REAs are required to independently verify the source of funds and wealth of their customer. The information required should be considered on a case-by-case basis in line with the risk posed by the customer.

Independent verification requires that a REA corroborates the information provided by the client using reliable and independent sources.

Independent verification must be applied:

1. for PEPs and family members and close associates of PEPs (see 6.15).
2. where the firm has risk profiled the customer as high risk.

In the case of high-net-worth individuals it may be difficult to assess the entirety of their income or wealth. In these cases, the extent of verification required should be in line with the risk profile of the individual and include independent verification of at least



the majority of the customer's income or wealth.

Open-source information (e.g. searches on google) can be used for verification purposes, however, the information must be from a reputable and independent source.

#### 4. Corporate clients

In the case of corporate clients, the requirement to establish or verify source of funds and wealth extends to its beneficial owners (BOs) (see 6.6), regardless of whether the funds or wealth of the entity are derived from the BOs or the company's own activities. This is due to the risk that the BOs are in a position to transmit illicit funds through the entity.

In the case of the activity of the corporate itself, audited financial statements are typically sufficient for verifying source of funds and wealth. Where this is not an option, other documentation should be considered on a case-by-case basis.

#### 5. Source of funds for landowners

Whereas the rationale for applying CDD measures to a purchaser or tenant is straight forward, the OFT has identified that REAs often struggle:

1. to see the relevance of scrutinising the source of the funds for the purchase of properties already owned by the landowner (landlords and vendors); and
2. to determine the extent of CDD required to verify the landowners source of funds and wealth.

This is particularly so where the property was a bought years earlier.

It is important however that effective CDD is applied to prevents criminals, who may have purchased property for illicit ML/TF/PF purposes in the past, from

disposing of their property in a manner that now appears legitimate.

The extent of CDD measures REAs need to apply to landowners to establish where the funds for the purchase of their property has come from will be based on the perceived ML/TF/PF risk of the customer, beneficial owner or the transaction.

It is also worth noting that the source of funds and source of wealth for landowners' properties are often intertwined, e.g. a property bought using a mortgage may require the REA to understand that the landowner had the wealth to be able to afford the property and furnish the mortgage, but it may also be necessary to determine where the funds used to pay the deposit for the property came from if it is less than a 100% loan to value mortgage.

#### 6. Examples of CDD to corroborate source of funds & wealth

Below is a non-exhaustive list of documents that can be used to verify source of funds and source of wealth.:

1. Employment income:
  - Name and address of employer;
  - Nature of business;
  - Annual salary and bonuses;
  - Recent payslip;
  - Latest accounts/tax declaration (if self-employed).
2. Savings
  - Bank statement and enquiry on source of wealth.
3. Property sale
  - Details of the property;
  - Copy of contract sale;
  - Title deed
4. Sale of shares or other investment
  - Copy of contract;
  - Sale value of shares sold;
  - Statement of account from agent;

- Transaction receipt/confirmation;
  - Shareholder's certificate;
  - Date of sale.
5. Loan
- Loan agreement;
  - Amount, date and purpose of loan;
  - Name and address of lender;
  - Details of any security.
6. Gift
- Date received;
  - Total amount;
  - Relationship to applicant;
  - Letter from donor explaining reason for the gift;
  - Certified identification documents of donor;
  - Source of wealth documentation of donor.
7. Maturity/Surrender of life policy
- Amount received;
  - Policy provider;
  - Policy number/reference;
  - Date of surrender.
8. Company sale
- Copy of the contract of sale;
  - Internet research of Company Registry;
  - Name and address of Company;
  - Total sales price;
  - Applicants' share participation;
  - Nature of business;
  - Date of sale and receipt of funds;
  - Media coverage.
9. Company profits/dividends
- Copy of latest audited financial statements;
  - Copy of latest management accounts;
  - Board of Directors approval;
  - Dividend distribution;
  - Tax declaration form.
10. Inheritance
- Name of deceased;
  - Date of death;
  - Relationship to applicant;
  - Date received;
  - Total amount;
  - Solicitor's details;
  - Tax clearance documents.
-



## Schedule 4 - Glossary of abbreviations

<b>AML</b>	Anti-money laundering
<b>BO</b>	Beneficial owner
<b>Business relationship</b>	See 7.2
<b>Cash</b>	Money in coins or notes.
<b>CDD</b>	Customer due diligence
<b>CFT</b>	Combatting the financing of terrorism
<b>CPF</b>	Counter proliferation financing
<b>FATF</b>	Financial Action Task Force
<b>GFIU</b>	Gibraltar Financial Intelligence Unit
<b>ID</b>	Personal identification document
<b>Letting agent</b>	See 1.4
<b>ML</b>	Money laundering
<b>MLRO</b>	Money laundering reporting officer
<b>NRA</b>	National risk assessment
<b>OFT</b>	Office of Fair Trading
<b>PEP</b>	Politically exposed person
<b>PF</b>	Proliferation financing
<b>REA</b>	Real estate agents and letting agents, see 1.2
<b>Real estate agent</b>	See 1.3.
<b>SAR</b>	Suspicious activity report
<b>SBPR</b>	Supervisory Bodies (Powers Etc.) Regulations 2017
<b>TF</b>	Terrorist financing
<b>TFS</b>	Targeted financial sanctions

---